Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 42660 Crosswinds I Partnership, Ltd. RN105628549 Docket No. 2011-1813-EAQ-E

Order Type:

1660 Agreed Order

Findings Order Justification:

N/A

Media:

MWD

Small Business:

Yes

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

TACARA, located northwest of State Highway 281 North and Stone Oak Parkway, San

Antonio, Bexar County

Type of Operation:

Commercial development property

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: March 23, 2012

Comments Received: No

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$13,750

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$2,750 **Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay:** \$0

Total Procto Conseal Revenue: \$11,000

Total Due to General Revenue: \$0

Payment Plan: N/A

SEP Conditional Offset: \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN - Average Site/RN - Average

Major Source: Yes

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A

Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 42660 Crosswinds I Partnership, Ltd. RN105628549 Docket No. 2011-1813-EAQ-E

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A Complaint Information: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: January 10 and 13, 2011

Date(s) of NOE(s): September 7, 2011

Violation Information

- 1. Failed to obtain approval of a modification to an approved Water Pollution Abatement Plan ("WPAP") prior to initiating construction over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. Specifically, the Phase I project proposed in the modification application was under construction and the proposed Vortechs® units had been constructed and installed prior to approval [30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 213.4(a)(1) and 213.4(j)(1), and WPAP No. 13-08091501, Standard Conditions No. 6].
- 2. Failed to maintain the approved best management practices ("BMPs") and measures to prevent pollutants from entering sensitive features within the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. Specifically, the vegetative buffer had been cleared away for the construction of the retaining wall allowing sediment to enter Feature S-5 [30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 213.4(k) and 213.5(b)(4)(B)(iii), and WPAP No. 13-08091501, Standard Conditions No. 8].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

The Respondent has implemented the following corrective measures at the Site:

- a. By October 26, 2010, submitted a WPAP Modification Application, which includes the Restoration and Stabilization Plan, for WPAP No. 13-08091501 to the TCEQ and on March 1, 2011, the WPAP Modification was approved; and
- b. By April 29, 2011, removed sediment from Feature S-5, installed silt fencing, and began seeding grass to establish vegetative cover within the disturbed areas.

Technical Requirements:

The Order will require the Respondent to:

- a. Within 30 days:
- i. Establish vegetative cover in the buffer area with a density of at least 80%;
- ii. Remove silt fencing once vegetative cover has been established; and
- iii. Obtain written certification from a Texas Licensed Professional Engineer that the permanent BMPs or measures were constructed as designed in the WPAP.

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 42660 Crosswinds I Partnership, Ltd. RN105628549 Docket No. 2011-1813-EAQ-E

b. Within 45 days, submit written certification demonstrating compliance.

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A
Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A
SOAH Referral Date: N/A
Hearing Date(s): N/A
Settlement Date: N/A

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Heather Brister, Enforcement Division,

Enforcement Team 1, MC R-09, (254) 761-3034; Debra Barber, Enforcement Division,

MC 219, (512) 239-0412

TCEQ SEP Coordinator: N/A

Respondent: Darren B. Casey, Managing Partner, Crosswinds I Partnership, Ltd., 814

Arion Parkway, Suite 200, San Antonio, Texas 78216

Greg Gibson, Director of Development Services, Crosswinds I Partnership, Ltd., 814

Arion Parkway, Suite 200, San Antonio, Texas 78216

Respondent's Attorney: N/A

Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision October 30, 2008 Assigned 12-Sep-2011 PCW 29-Sep-2011 Screening 29-Sep-2011 **EPA Due** RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent Crosswinds I Partnership, Ltd. Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN105628549 Facility/Site Region 13-San Antonio Major/Minor Source Major **CASE INFORMATION** Enf./Case ID No. 42660 No. of Violations 2 **Docket No.** 2011-1813-EAQ-E Order Type 1660 Media Program(s) Edwards Aquifer Government/Non-Profit No Enf. Coordinator Heather Brister Multi-Media EC's Team Enforcement Team Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum \$0 Maximum \$10,000 Penalty Calculation Section TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) Subtotal 1 \$15,000 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. Compliance History Subtotals 2, 3, & \$0 0.0% Enhancement Notes No adjustment for compliance history. 0.0% Enhancement Subtotal 4 \$0 **Culpability** No The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria. Notes Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments Subtotal 5 \$1,250 0.0% Enhancement* Subtotal 6 \$0 **Economic Benefit** Total EB Amounts *Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount \$238 Approx. Cost of Compliance \$13,750 SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 Final Subtotal \$0 OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE 0.0% Adjustment Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage. Notes Final Penalty Amount \$13,750

\$13,750

-\$2,750

\$11,000

Final Assessed Penalty

Adiustment

Reduction

20.0%

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT

Notes

PAYABLE PENALTY

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

Screening Date 29-Sep-2011

Docket No. 2011-1813-EAQ-E

Respondent Crosswinds I Partnership, Ltd.

Case ID No. 42660

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN105628549 Media [Statute] Edwards Aquifer Enf. Coordinator Heather Brister

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Component	Number of	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (<i>number of NOVs meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (<i>number of orders meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	n	0%
Judgments and Consent	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non- adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (<i>number of counts</i>)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
Audis	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (<i>number of audits for which violations were disclosed</i>)	0	0%
	Ple	ase Enter Yes or No	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
eat Violator (:	Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 2)
No.	Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 3)
npliance Histo	ry Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
Average Pe	rformer Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 7)
npliance Histo	ry Summary		
Compliance History Notes	No adjustment for compliance history.		

Screening Date	•	PCW
	Crosswinds I Partnership, Ltd.	Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No.		PCW Revision October 30, 2008
Reg. Ent. Reference No.		
Media [Statute]		
Enf. Coordinator		
Violation Number		
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 213.4(a)(1) and 213.4(j)(1), and Water Poll Abatement Plan ("WPAP") No. 13-08091501, Standard Conditions N	
Violation Description	Falled to obtain approval of a modification to an approved WPAP prior to construction over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone, as documented d investigation conducted on January 10 and 13, 2011. Specifically, the project proposed in the modification application was under construction proposed Vortechs® units had been constructed and installed prior to a	uring an Phase I and the
	Base	Penalty \$10,000
>> Environmental, Proper	ty and Human Health Matrix	WAR AND
Release	Harm Major Moderate Minor	ă î
OR Actual	Major Moderate Minor	an and a second
Potential	Percent 0%	
>>Programmatic Matrix		
Falsification	Major Moderate Minor	TANANTA I
WWW.LARIES	X Percent 25%	-
Matrix	100% of the rule requirement was not met.	V (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)
Notes		
	Adjustment	\$7,500
		+3.500
		\$2,500
Violation Events		
Number of V	iolation Events 2 50 Number of violation o	lays
		·
	daily	Anna and and and and and and and and and
	weekly monthly x	december 1
mark only one	monthly x Violation Base	Penalty \$5,000
with an x	semiannual	75/555
	annual (1996)	And well
	single event	·
Two monthly	events are recommended from the investigation date (January 10, 2011)	to the
	compliance date (March 1, 2011).	
<u> </u>		المستعلقة المستعلق المستعلقة المستعلقة المستعلقة المستعلقة المستعلقة المستعلقة المستعلق المستعلقة المستعلقة المستعلقة المستعلقة المستعلقة المستعلق المستعلقة المستعلق المستعلق المستعلقة المستعلق المستعلم ال
Good Faith Efforts to Comp	ly 25.0% Reduction	\$1,250
	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	a constant
	Extraordinary	www.
	Ordinary x	outside and the second
	N/A (mark with x)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		M
***************************************	Notes The Respondent achieved compliance by March 1, 2011.	
иминини		
WINDS	Violation 9	Subtotal \$3,750
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation Statutory Limit '	lest .
Ectimate	d EB Amount \$68 Violation Final Penal	ty Total \$3,750
ESCHINATE	u ED AMOUNT 300 VIOLATION FINIS PENSION	ty rotar \$3,730
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted fo	r limits) \$3,750

	E	conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent				1007107171110			
Case ID No.	42660						
Rea. Ent. Reference No.	RN105628549	1					
Media	Edwards Aquif	er				n	Years of
Violation No.						Percent Interest	Depreciation
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description						Oncume costs	
ttem bescription	NO COMMISS OF \$						
Delaved Costs							
Delayeu Costs Equipment		r		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings	//			0.00	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	<u>\$0</u>
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs	\$10.000	10-Jan-2011	1-Mar-2011	0.14	\$68	n/a	\$68
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a l	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs			the final date	is the	date of compliance	ired is the investiga or one-time avoid	
AVOIDED COSTS Disposal	AIIIIGAL	I I I	COSES DEIVIE	0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling		1		0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs							
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$10,000		į	TOTAL		\$68

PCW		Screening Date
on 2 (September 2002)	.,	
vision October 30, 2008		Case ID No.
		Reg. Ent. Reference No.
		Media [Statute]
		Enf. Coordinator
i		Violation Number
	30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 213.4(k) and 213.5(b)(4)(B)(iii), and WPAP No. 13- 08091501, Standard Conditions No. 8	Rule Cite(s)
	Failed to maintain the approved best management practices ("BMPs") and measures to prevent pollutants from entering sensitive features within the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone, as documented during an investigation conducted on January 10 and 13, 2011. Specifically, the vegetative buffer had been cleared away for the construction of the retaining wall allowing sediment to enter Feature S-5.	Violation Description
\$10,000	Base Penalty [
	ty and Human Health Matrix	>> Environmental, Proper
	Harm	
	Major Moderate Minor	Release
		OR Actual
	Percent 50%	Potential
	Major Moderate Minor	>>Programmatic Matrix Falsification
	Percent 0%	Faisincation
	or the environment has been exposed to significant amounts of pollutants which do	Human health
	rels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.	Matrix Notes not exceed lev
		L. L
	Adjustment \$5,000	
\$5,000		
		Violation Events
	Violation Expects 2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.	
	/iolation Events 2 109 Number of violation days	Number of V
\$10,000	daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual	mark only one with an x
1	annual IIIII III III III III III III III III	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	P 2000 17 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	[
***************************************	events are recommended from the investigation date (January 10, 2011) to the date ature S-5 was cleaned out and BMP's were implemented (April 29, 2011).	
\$0	oly 0.0% Reduction	Good Faith Efforts to Com
	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	good raidi Enorts to Com
	Extraordinary Ordinary	
	N/A X J(mark with x)	
	Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.	
\$10,000	Violation Subtotal	
\$10,000		E.S
\$10,000	pose	Economic Benefit (EB) for
\$10,000	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)	Estimate
\$10,000	inio vividuoni riilai Assesseu reliaity (aujusteu ivi lilliits)	

Respondent		conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
•		artifership, Ltd.					
Case ID No.							
ea. Ent. Reference No.					•		Years of
	Edwards Aquife	er				Percent Interest	Depreciation
Violation No.	2					1	
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delaved Costs_							
Equipment		1		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal	\$1.500	10-Jan-2011	29-Apr-2011	0.30	\$22	n/a	\$22
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$2,000	10-Jan-2011	30-Jun-2012	1.47	\$147	n/a	\$147
other (as needed)	Estimated co:	st to clean out Fe	ature S-5 and i	noleme	ent BMPs: and to e	establish a veqetativ	e cover in the
Notes for DELAYED costs	buffer area w Texas Licen compliance	vith a density of a sed Professional I b. Date required	t least 80%, re Engineer that a _l is the investigal	nove s proved ion dat	ilt fencing, and to d Restoration and : e and the final dat	establish a vegetativ obtain written certif Stabilization Plans d tes are the date the pated date of compli	ication from a emonstrates BMPs were
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	buffer area w Texas Licen compliance imple	with a density of a sed Professional I b. Date required emented and Feat	it least 80%, re Engineer that a is the investigat ture S-5 was cle	move si oproved ion dat aned o	ilt fencing, and to d Restoration and te and the final dat out; and the anticip ng item (except i	obtain written certif Stabilization Plans di tes are the date the pated date of compli for one-time avoid	ication from a emonstrates BMPs were ance. led costs)
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal	buffer area w Texas Licen compliance imple	with a density of a sed Professional I b. Date required emented and Feat	it least 80%, re Engineer that a is the investigat ture S-5 was cle	nove soproved ion data aned on terion of the content of the conten	ilt fencing, and to d Restoration and te and the final dat out; and the anticip ng item (except i \$0	obtain written certif Stabilization Plans d tes are the date the pated date of compli for one-time avoid \$0	ication from a emonstrates BMPs were ance. led costs)
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	buffer area w Texas Licen compliance imple	with a density of a sed Professional I b. Date required emented and Feat	it least 80%, re Engineer that a is the investigat ture S-5 was cle	nove soproved ion data aned on one on one one one one one one one o	Ilt fencing, and to d Restoration and see and the final dat out; and the anticip of item (except in \$0 \$0	obtain written certif Stabilization Plans d tes are the date the pated date of compli for one-time avoid \$0 \$0	ication from a emonstrates BMPs were ance. led costs) \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling	buffer area w Texas Licen compliance imple	with a density of a sed Professional I b. Date required emented and Feat	it least 80%, re Engineer that a is the investigat ture S-5 was cle	nove soproved ion date aned o	Ilt fencing, and to differential Restoration and the and the final date out; and the anticiping item (except 1 \$0 \$0 \$0	obtain written certif Stabilization Plans d tes are the date the pated date of compli for one-time avoid \$0 \$0	cation from a emonstrates BMPs were ance. led costs) \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	buffer area w Texas Licen compliance imple	with a density of a sed Professional I b. Date required emented and Feat	it least 80%, re Engineer that a is the investigat ture S-5 was cle	nove soproved ion data aned of one of the on	Ilt fencing, and to differential Restoration and the and the final date out; and the anticipal restoration is a second second restoration in the anticipal restoration is a second restoration in the second restoration in the second restoration is a second restoration in the second restoration in the second restoration is a second restoration in the second restoration in the second restoration is a second restoration in the second restoration in the second restoration is a second restoration restoration in the second restoration is a second restoration restoration in the second restoration restoration is a second restoration r	obtain written certif Stabilization Plans d tes are the date the pated date of compli for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	cation from a emonstrates BMPs were ance. led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	buffer area w Texas Licen compliance imple	with a density of a sed Professional I b. Date required emented and Feat	it least 80%, re Engineer that a is the investigat ture S-5 was cle	prove soproved ion date aned of the control of the	Ilt fencing, and to differential and the and the final date and the anticipant; and the anticipant is a second anticipant is a second and the anticipant is a second anticipant is a second and the anticipant is a second anticipant is a second anticipant is a second and the anticipant is a second anticipant is a second and the anticipant is a second and the anticipant is a second and the anticipant is a second anticipan	obtain written certif Stabilization Plans d tes are the date the pated date of compli for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	cation from a emonstrates BMPs were ance. led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	buffer area w Texas Licen compliance imple	with a density of a sed Professional I b. Date required emented and Feat	it least 80%, re Engineer that a is the investigat ture S-5 was cle	nove sopproved ion data aned o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o	Ilt fencing, and to differential Restoration and Steam of the final date and the anticipal Restoration in the anticipal Restoration in the anticipal Restoration in the anticipal Restoration in the second second second in the second second in the second second second in the second s	obtain written certif Stabilization Plans detes are the date the pated date of compliance of the complete of t	cation from a emonstrates BMPs were ance. led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	buffer area w Texas Licen compliance imple	with a density of a sed Professional I b. Date required emented and Feat	it least 80%, re Engineer that a is the investigat ture S-5 was cle	prove soproved ion date aned of the control of the	Ilt fencing, and to differential and the and the final date and the anticipant; and the anticipant is a second anticipant is a second and the anticipant is a second anticipant is a second and the anticipant is a second anticipant is a second anticipant is a second and the anticipant is a second anticipant is a second and the anticipant is a second and the anticipant is a second and the anticipant is a second anticipan	obtain written certif Stabilization Plans d tes are the date the pated date of compli for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	cation from a emonstrates BMPs were ance. led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	buffer area w Texas Licen compliance imple	with a density of a sed Professional I b. Date required emented and Feat	it least 80%, re Engineer that a is the investigat ture S-5 was cle	nove sopproved ion data aned o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o	Ilt fencing, and to differential Restoration and Steam of the final date and the anticipal Restoration in the anticipal Restoration in the anticipal Restoration in the anticipal Restoration in the second second second in the second second in the second second second in the second s	obtain written certif Stabilization Plans detes are the date the pated date of compliance of the complete of t	cation from a emonstrates BMPs were ance. led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator:

CN603396102

Crosswinds I Partnership, Ltd.

Classification: AVERAGE

Rating: 2.00

Regulated Entity:

RN105628549

TACARA

Classification: AVERAGE

Site Rating: 3.01

ID Number(s):

EDWARDS AQUIFER EDWARDS AQUIFER

REGISTRATION REGISTRATION

13-08091501 13-08091501A

Location:

NW OF HWY 281 N AND STONE OAK PKWY, SAN ANTONIO, BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS

TCEQ Region:

REGION 13 - SAN ANTONIO

Date Compliance History Prepared:

December 06, 2011

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History:

Enforcement

Compliance Period:

December 6, 2006 to December 6, 2011

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name:

Heather Brister

Phone:

(254) 761-3034

Site Compliance History Components

1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

Yes

2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period?

No

3. If Yes, who is the current owner/operator?

N/A

4. If Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)/operator(s)?

N/A

5. When did the change(s) in owner or operator occur?

N/A

6. Rating Date: 9/1/2011 Repeat Violator: NO

Components (Multimedia) for the Site:

A.	Final Enforcement Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees of the State of Texas and the federal government	nt N/A
B.	Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.	N/A
C.	Chronic excessive emissions events.	N/A
D.	The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)	N/A
E.	Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)	N/A
F.	Environmental audits.	N/A
G.	Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).	N/A
H.	Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.	N/A
1.	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.	N/A
J.	Early compliance.	N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	8	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
CROSSWINDS I PARTNERSHIP,	§	
LTD.	§	
RN105628549	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2011-1813-EAQ-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its ______ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Crosswinds I Partnership, Ltd. ("the Respondent") under the authority of Tex. Water Code chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a commercial development property located northwest of State Highway 281 North and Stone Oak Parkway in San Antonio, Bexar County, Texas (the "Site").
- 2. The Site adjoins, is contiguous with, surrounds, or is near or adjacent to any water in the state as defined in Tex. Water Code § 26.001(5).
- 3. The Commission and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about September 12, 2011.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.

- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Thirteen Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$13,750) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Eleven Thousand Dollars (\$11,000) of the administrative penalty and Two Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$2,750) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.
- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and the Respondent have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent has implemented the following corrective measures at the Site:
 - a. By October 26, 2010, submitted a Water Pollution Abatement Plan ("WPAP") Modification Application, which includes the Restoration and Stabilization Plan, for WPAP No. 13-08091501 to the TCEQ and on March 1, 2011, the WPAP Modification was approved; and
 - b. By April 29, 2011, removed sediment from Feature S-5, installed silt fencing, and began seeding grass to establish vegetative cover within the disturbed areas.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Site, the Respondent is alleged to have:

1. Failed to obtain approval of a modification to an approved WPAP prior to initiating construction over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 213.4(a)(1) and 213.4(j)(1), and WPAP No. 13-08091501, Standard Conditions No. 6, as documented during an investigation conducted on January 10 and 13, 2011. Specifically, the Phase I project proposed in the modification application was under

Crosswinds I Partnership, Ltd. DOCKET NO. 2011-1813-EAQ-E Page 3

construction and the proposed Vortechs® units had been constructed and installed prior to approval.

2. Failed to maintain the approved best management practices ("BMPs") and measures to prevent pollutants from entering sensitive features within the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 213.4(k) and 213.5(b)(4)(B)(iii), and WPAP No. 13-08091501, Standard Conditions No. 8, as documented during an investigation conducted on January 10 and 13, 2011. Specifically, the vegetative buffer had been cleared away for the construction of the retaining wall allowing sediment to enter Feature S-5.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Crosswinds I Partnership, Ltd., Docket No. 2011-1813-EAQ-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order:
 - i. Establish vegetative cover in the buffer area with a density of at least 80%;
 - ii. Remove silt fencing once vegetative cover has been established; and
 - iii. Obtain written certification from a Texas Licensed Professional Engineer that the permanent BMPs or measures were constructed as designed in the WPAP.
 - b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance

Crosswinds I Partnership, Ltd. DOCKET NO. 2011-1813-EAQ-E Page 4

with Ordering Provision Nos. 2.a.i through 2.a.iii. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team Enforcement Division, MC 149A Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Water Section, Manager San Antonio Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 14250 Judson Road San Antonio, Texas 78233-4480

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Site operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director.

Crosswinds I Partnership, Ltd. DOCKET NO. 2011-1813-EAQ-E Page 5

The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.

- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which 7. together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under TEX. BUS. ORG. CODE § 1.002.
- 8. Under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

	For the Commission
O	For the Executive Director 3/29/12 Date
	I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.
	 I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in: A negative impact on compliance history; Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted; Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency; Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions; Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions;
	 and TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.
	In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.
	2/10/12
	Signature Date
	DARREN B. CASEY Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of Crosswinds I Partnership, Ltd.

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.